1 2	DAVID R. EBERHART (S.B. #195474) deberhart@omm.com SHARON M. BUNZEL (S.B. #181609) sbunzel@omm.com	Daniel B. Olmos (CA SBN 235319) NOLAN BARTON & OLMOS LLP 600 University Avenue Palo Alto, CA 94301
3	DANIEL H. LEIGH (S.B. #310673) dleigh@omm.com	Tel. (650) 326-2980 Fax (650) 326-9704
4	O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP	Counsel for Defendant
5	Two Embarcadero Center, 28th Floor San Francisco, California 94111-3823	Jizhong Chen
6 7	Telephone: (415) 984-8700 Facsimile: (415) 984-8701	
8	Attorneys for Non-Party APPLE INC.	
9		
10	UNITED STATES	S DISTRICT COURT
11	NORTHERN DISTE	RICT OF CALIFORNIA
12	SAN JOS	E DIVISION
13		
14		
15	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Case No. 5:19-cr-00056-EJD
16	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO EXTEND TIME TO
17	V.	RESPOND TO FEDERAL RULE OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURE 17(C)
18	JIZHONG CHEN,	SUBPOENA TO NON-PARTY APPLE INC.
19	Defendant.	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO EXTEND TIME TO RESPOND TO SUBPOENA CASE NO. 5:19-CR-00056-EJD

1	Pursuant to Criminal Local Rule 47-4, Defendant Jizhong Chen ("Mr. Chen") and non-
2	party Apple Inc. ("Apple"), by and through their undersigned counsel, hereby stipulate as
3	follows:
4	WHEREAS, Mr. Chen applied for the issuance of a subpoena to Apple in the above-
5	captioned matter pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 17(c) (the "subpoena");
6	WHEREAS, on February 1, 2022, the Court ordered issuance of the subpoena to Apple;
7	WHEREAS, the Court ordered Apple to produce documents in response to the subpoena
8	on or before March 28, 2022 at 1:30pm;
9	WHEREAS, if Apple does not intend to comply with the subpoena by that date, the Court
10	ordered Apple to file a motion to quash the subpoena and notice the hearing of that motion for
11	March 28, 2022 at 1:30pm;
12	WHEREAS, counsel for Mr. Chen and Apple have met and conferred in an effort to
13	reduce or eliminate objections that Apple may present to the Court regarding certain of the
14	subpoena requests;
15	WHEREAS, Mr. Chen and Apple continue their meet and confer efforts and are hopeful
16	that they can resolve at least some of Apple's additional objections without involving the Court;
17	WHEREAS, in light of their ongoing discussions, Mr. Chen and Apple submit that it is in
18	the interest of judicial economy and there is good cause to extend the time for Apple's
19	compliance with the subpoena and the hearing date of any motion to quash until June 6, 2022 at
20	1:30pm;
21	WHEREAS, Mr. Chen and Apple have agreed that, should Apple move to quash the
22	subpoena, Apple shall file its motion by May 2, 2022, Mr. Chen shall file his opposition by May
23	16, 2022, and Apple shall file its reply by May 23, 2022;
24	WHEREAS, on March 9, 2022, counsel for Mr. Chen contacted the Court's Courtroom
25	Deputy to confirm the availability of June 6, 2022 for hearing any motion to quash the subpoena
26	and, on March 10, 2022, the Court's Courtroom Deputy responded that June 6, 2022 is available;
27	WHEREAS, there is a status conference in the above-captioned matter set for March 28,
28	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER

	$\Pi$	
1	2022 at 1:30pm and Mr. Chen currently anticipates stipulating to a continuance of that status	
2	conference to June 6, 2022 at 1:30pm via a separate stipulation with the government;	
3	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED (1) that Apple shall produce documents	
4	pursuant to the subpoena on or before June 6, 2022 at 1:30pm, and, if Apple does not intend to	
5	comply with any part of the subpoena by that date, it shall file a motion to quash the subpoena	
6	and notice the hearing of that motion for June 6, 2022 at 1:30pm and (2) that, should Apple move	
7	to quash the subpoena, Apple shall file its motion by May 2, 2022, Mr. Chen shall file his	
8	opposition by May 16, 2022, and Apple shall file its reply by May 23, 2022.	
9		
10	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
11		
12	Respectfully submitted,	
13	Dated: March 14, 2022 NOLAN BARTON & OLMOS LLP	
14	/s/ Daniel B. Olmos	
15	Daniel B. Olmos	
16	Attorney for Defendant Jizhong Chen	
17		
18		
19	Dated: March 14, 2022 O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP	
20	/s/ David R. Eberhart  David R. Eberhart	
21	Attorney for Non-Party Apple Inc.	
22	SIGNATURE ATTESTATION	
23		
24	I, David R. Eberhart, hereby attest that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from the other signatory to this document.	
25	/s/ David R. Eberhart	
26		
27		
28	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO EXTEND TIME TO RESPOND TO 3 SUBPOENA	
- 1	a Sobroeia	